Application No. 09/467,388 TRANSMITTAL FORM Filing Date December 21, 1999 RECEIVED (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) First Named Inventor Nelson A. Yaple Art Unit 2154 OCT 2 7 2003 **Examiner Name** Saleh Najjar Technology Center 2100 42390P7088 Total Number of Pages in This Submission Attorney Docket Number **ENCLOSURES** (check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to Group Drawing(s) Fee Transmittal Form Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers Appeal Communication to Group Petition Amendment / Response (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert a Provisional Application After Final Proprietary Information Affidavits/declaration(s) Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Status Letter Extension of Time Request Other Enclosure(s) Terminal Disclaimer (please identify below): **Express Abandonment Request** Request for Refund - Petition to Accept Papers Information Disclosure Statement as Timely Filed and PTO/SB/08 Withdraw Holding of CD, Number of CD(s) Abandonment Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) - Return Postcard Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Remarks Basic Filing Fee Declaration/POA Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Joseph A. Pugh, Reg. No. 52,137 Individual name BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Signature October 20, 2003 Date CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Typed or printed name Derek S. Watson Date October 20, 2003 Signature

OTPE CA					•	
FEE TRANSMITTAL					Complete if Known	
TO EV 2003	7	Application Number 09/467,388			09/467,388	
for FY 2003	F	iling Dat	e		December 21, 199	
Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	F	irst Nam	ned Inv	entor	Nelson A. Yaple	CEIAFD
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.	E	Examiner	Name	9	Saleh Najjar	
	T	Group/Ar	t Unit		2154 007	27 7003
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)	7	Attorney I	Docket	No.	42390P7088	
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) ☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money ☐ Other ☒ None ☐ Deposit Account	1	DDITIO			E CALCULATION (continued)	gy ochtor 21)
Penceit Communication of the C	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Deposit Account 02-2666	1	• •			•	ree raid
Number	1051 1052	130 50	2051 2052	65 25	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or	
Account Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP					cover sheet.	
Name Blakely, Sokololi, Taylol & Zallilali LLF	2053	130	2053	130	Non-English specification	
The Commissioner is authorized to: (check all that apply)	1812	_,		2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Credit any overpayments	1804	920*	1804	920 *	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Charge any additional fee(s) required under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.2	1805	1,840*	1805	1,840 *	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account	1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	
FFE CALCULATION	1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	

Account	n	_11_	0.1.	alass Taulan & Zasman I I D			ł		cover sheet.	i l
Name	BI	акегу,	Sok	oloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP	2053	130	2053	130	Non-English specification	
The Commis	sione	r is autho	orized t	oʻ(check all that apply)	1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Charge f	fee(s) i	ndicated	below	Credit any overpayments	1804	920*	1804	920 *	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
-	•			quired under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.20	1805	1,840 *	1805	1,840 *	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
		naicatea entified d		except for the filing fee account	1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	
		FE	E CA	ALCULATION	1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	
1. BA	SIC	FILIN	G FE	E	1253	950	2253	475	Extension for reply within third month	
Large Enti	ity	Small	Entity		1254	1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
	ee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description Fee Paid	1255	1,210	2255	605	Extension for reply within fifth month	
					1404	330	2401	165	Notice of Appeal	
1001	770	2001	385	Utility filing fee	1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
	340 530	2002	170 265	Design filing fee Plant filing fee	1403	290	2403	145	Request for oral hearing	
	770	2003 2004	385	Reissue filing fee	1451	1,510	2451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
	160	2005	80	Provisional filing fee	1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
1003		2003			1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive - unintentional	
			SUB	(\$)	1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
2. EX	TRA	CLA	M F	EES _{Extra} Fee from	1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fee	
				Claims below Fee Paid	1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue fee	
Total Claims	Γ		. 22	_ x	1460	130	2460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Independent Claims	Ĺ		. 4	= x = =	1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Multiple Depe	endent				1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Large Enti	ity	Small	Entity		8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per	
	ee	Fee	Fee	Fee Description					property (times number of properties)	
	\$)	Code	(\$)		1809	770	1809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
	18	2202	9	Claims in excess of 20	1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be	
	86	2201	43	Independent claims in excess of 3	10,0	,,,	20,0	555	examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
,200	90	2203 2204	145 43	Multiple Dependent claim, if not paid **Reissue independent claims over original	1801	770	2801	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1204 8	86	2204	43	patent	1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination	
1205	18	2205	9	**Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over	Other fe	e (specify)	ı		of a design application	

SUBMITTED B	BY			Comp	olete (if applicable)
Name (Print/Type)	Joseph A. Pugh	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	52,137	Telephone	(503) 684-6200
Signature	Josephalun			Date	10/20/03

* Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL (3)

(\$)

SUBTOTAL (2)

**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see below

**Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

(\$)



torney Docket No.: 042390.P7088

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:		
Yaple)	Examiner: S. Najjar	RECEIVED
Application No: 09/467,388)	33	OCT 2 7 2003
)	Art Unit: 2154	Technology Center 2100
Filed: December 21, 1999		••
For: A DEDICATED DIGITAL-TO-AUDIO) NETWORK AUDIO BRIDGING SYSTEM)		
Mail Stop Petition		
Commissioner for Patents		
P.O. Box 1450		•
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		

PETITION TO ACCEPT PAPERS AS TIMELY FILED AND WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

Dear Sir/Madam:

In response to the Notice of Abandonment mailed October 1, 2003, Applicant respectfully requests that the Patent and Trademark Office (the Office) accept the response timely filed on March 19, 2003, and withdraw the holding of abandonment.

Applicant asserts as follows:

- 1. Applicant received an Office Action mailed February 27, 2003.
- 2. On March 19, 2003, Applicant filed a response (the Response, copy attached) to the Office Action, well before May 27, 2003, the final day of the 3-month statutory period for response set forth in the Office Action.

3. Applicant received a postcard (the Postcard, copy attached) stamped to indicate that the Office received the items listed thereon, including the Response, on

March 24, 2003.

4. On October 1, 2003, the Office mailed a Notice of Abandonment for

failure to file a proper reply to the Office Action.

5. The Postcard is prima facie evidence that the Office received the Response

on March 24, 2003 (see Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 503), and thus

Applicant has timely filed a proper reply to the Office Action.

In light of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that the Office accept the

Response as timely filed, and withdraw the holding of abandonment. The Office is

respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if such contact would

further the examination of the present application.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account

number 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date: October 20, 2003

6seph A. Pugh

Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 52,137

12400 Wilshire Boulevard

Seventh Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

(503) 684-6200

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002: OMB 0651-0031

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filling) Application No. 09/467,388 Filing Date December 21, 1999 First Named Inventor Nelson A, Yaple RECENCED	<u> </u>						
Filing Date December 21, 1999	TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application No.	09/467,388			
Ito he used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Filing Date	•			
First Named Inventor Nelson A. Yaple	(to b	e used for all correspondence after i	initial filing)	First Named Inventor	Nelson A. Yaple	RECEN	
Group Art Unit 2154 OCT 2.7 2003		g.		Group Art Unit	2154	OCT 2 7	2003
Examiner Name Saleh Najjar				Examiner Name		Tachmalagu Cam	O⊲ OC
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 15 Attorney Docket Number 42390P7088 Technology Center 210	To	otal Number of Pages in This Submission	15	Attorney Docket Number	42390P7088	rechnology cen	187 Z I UU

Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	ion 15 Attorney Docket Number	42390P/088
ENCLO	SURES (check all that apply)	
Fee Transmittal Form	Assignment Papers (for an Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee Attached	☐ Drawing(s)	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment / Response	Licensing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
☐ After Final ☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)	Petition	Proprietary Information
Extension of Time Request	Petition to Convert a Provisional Application	Status Letter
Express Abandonment Request	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Information Disclosure Statement	Terminal Disclaimer	- Return Postcard
☐ PTO/SB/08 ☐ Certified Copy of Priority ☐ Document(s)	Request for Refund	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	CD, Number of CD(s)	
Basic Filing Fee Declaration/POA	Remarks	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		
SIGNATURI	E OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AG	ENT
Firm Joseph A. Pugh	ı, Reg. No. 52,137	
or	SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFM	AN LLP
Signature Some Of	2ω	
Date March 19, 200	<i>₹\</i> \	
CERTIF	CATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is t	eing deposited with the United States Postal to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Was	Service as First Class mail with shington, D.C. 20231 on: March 19, 2003
Typed or printed name Derek S. Wa	itson	
Signature	esala 10	ate March 19, 2003

PTO/SB/17 (01-03)
Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

		·		
FEE TRANSMITTAL	l c	omplete if Known		
for EV 2002	Application Number	09/467,388		
for FY 2003	Filing Date	December 21, 1999		
Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	First Named Inventor	Nelson A. Yaple RECFIVED		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.	Examiner Name	Saleh Najjar		
····	Group/Art Unit	2154 OCT 9 7 2002		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)	Attorney Docket No.	42390P7088 OCT 2 7 2003		

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	FEE CALCULATION (contin Technology Cente				enter 21(
Charte C Cradit and C Money C Other H Name	3. ADI	OTTIC	NAL.	FEES		
Creck Credit card Corder Other De None	Large Er	ntitu -	Smal	i Entity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Deposit Account	l ———	Fee	Fee	Fee	_	•
Deposit		(S)	Code	(\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Account Number 02-2666	1051 ¹	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
Deposit	1052		2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or	
Account Blakely Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP				٠.	cover sheet.	·
Name		4	2053	130	Non-English specification	- इन्स्कर्य नागीकपूर्व
The Commissioner is authorized to: (check all that apply)	į			2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments	1804	920	1804	920 *	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	ŀ
Charge any additional fee(s) required under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.20	1805 1	.840	1805	1,840	Requesting publication of SIR after	
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee	,005		1003	.,	Examiner action	
to the above-identified deposit account	1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	
FEE CALCULATION	1252	410	2252	205	Extension for reply within second month	
1. BASIC FILING FEE	1253	930	2253	465	Extension for reply within third month	
Large Entity Small Entity]	2254	725	Extension for reply within fourth month	
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid	,_,		2255	985	Extension for reply within fifth month	
Code (\$) Code (\$)			2401	160	Notice of Appeal	
1001 750 2001 375 Utility filing fee			2402	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	·
1002 330 2002 165 Design filing fee		1		140	Request for oral hearing	
1003 520 2003 260 Plant filing fee			2403			
1004 750 2004 375 Reissue filing fee		,		1,510 .	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee			2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)			2453	650	Petition to revive - unintentional	
		- 1	2501	650	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Extra Fee from		· .	2502	235	Design issue fee	:
Claims below Fee Paid	1503	630	2503	315	Plant issue fee	
1 1. 22 =1 1×1 1 1	1460	130	2460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Independent Claims 4 = X	1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Multiple Dependent	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Large Entity Small Entity	8021	40°	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per	
Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)					property (times number of properties)	·
	1809	750	1809		Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20	1810	750	2810		For each additional invention to be	
1201 84 2201 42 Independent claims in excess of 3	1010	, 30	2010		examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	·
1203 280 2203 140 Multiple Dependent claim, if not paid	1801	750	2801	375	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1204 84 2204 42 **Reissue independent claims over original patent			1802		Request for expedited examination	
1205 18 2205 9 **Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over	Other fee (s				of a design application	
original patent						
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)						
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see below	*Reduced by B	Basic Filing	Fee Pai	d .	SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)	
- mamber promotely police, it greater, i or interaction, and below		+ 2				

SORWILLED BA Complete (if applicable) Registration No. (503) 684-6200 Name (Print/Type) Joseph A. Pugh 52,137 Telephone (Attorney/Agent) 03/19/03 Signature Date

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 on 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/17 (01-03)
Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2003

Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

OCT 2 3 2003

(\$)

· ·	
C	omplete if Known
Application Number	09/467,388
Filing Date	December 21, 1999
First Named Inventor	Nelson A. Yaple
Examiner Name	Saleh Najjar RECEIVED
Group/Art Unit	2154
Attorney Docket No.	42390P7088 0CT 2 7 2003

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)			FEI	E CALCULATION (continued in colony Ce	enter 210
☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money ☐ Other ☑ None		TIONA			
☐ Deposit Account	Large Enti		nall Entity	<u>'</u>	
Deposit 000 2000	Code (\$			Fee Description	Fee Paid
Account Number 02-2666	1051 1	30 205		Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
Deposit Daniel Company of Company	1052	50 2052	2 25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.	
Account Name Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP	2053 1	30 205	3 130	Non-English specification	
The Commissioner is authorized to: (check all that apply)	1812 2.5			For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments	· 1804 9	20 ° 1804	920	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Charge any additional fee(s) required under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.20	1805 1,8	40 180	5 1,840 °	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account	1251 1	10 225	55	Extension for reply within first month	
FEE CALCULATION	1252 4	10 225	2 205	Extension for reply within second month	
1. BASIC FILING FEE	1253 9	30 225	465	Extension for reply within third month	
Large Entity Small Entity	1254 1.4	50 225	725	Extension for reply within fourth month	I
Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid Code (\$)	1255 1,9	70 225	985	Extension for reply within fifth month	
	1404 3	20 240	160	Notice of Appeal	
1001 750 2001 375 Utility filing fee 1002 330 2002 165 Design filing fee	1402 3	20 240	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
1002 530 2002 165 Design lining fee	1403 2	80 . 240:	3 140	Request for oral hearing	
1004 750 2004 375 Reissue filing fee	1451 1,5	10 245	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee	1452 1	10 245	2 55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
<u></u>	1453 1,3	00 245	3 650	Petition to revive - unintentional	<u></u>
SUBTOTAL (1)	1501 1,3	00 250	1 - 650	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Extra Fee from	1502 4	70 250	2 235	Design issue fee	. \
Ctairns below Fee Paid	1503 6	30 250	3 315	Plant issue fee	
Total Claims	1460 1	30 246	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Independent 4 = X =	1807	50 180	7 50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Multiple Dependent =	1806 1	80 180	3 180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	8021	40 802	1 40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
Code (\$) Code (\$) 1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20	1809 7	50 180	375	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
1201 84 2201 42 Independent claims in excess of 3	1810 7	50 281	375	For each additional invention to be	· · · · · ·
1203 280 2203 140 Multiple Dependent claim, if not paid				examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
1204 84 2204 42 **Reissue independent claims over		50 280		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
original patent		00 180	2. 900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
1205 18 2205 9 **Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	Other fee (sp	ecity)			
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	1		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see below	*Reduced by Ba	sic Filing Fee	Paid	SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)	
	<u> </u>			Complete (it continue)(a)
SORWILLED RA				Complete (if applicat)

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type)

Signature

Complete (if applicable)

Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)

Signature

Complete (if applicable)

Telephone (503) 684-6200

Date 03/19/03

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.





Attorney Docket No.: 042390.P7088

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)	
e en la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co)	
Yaple)	
) Examiner: S. Najjar	•
Application No: 09/467,388)	
) Art Unit: 2154	RECEIVED
Filed: December 21, 1999)	
)	OCT 2 7 2003
For: A DEDICATED DIGITAL-TO-AUDIO NETWORK AUDIO BRIDGING SYSTEM))	Technology Center 2100
	•	

Commissioner For Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Dear Examiner:

In response to the Office Action mailed February 27, 2003, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks.

IN THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) In an audio-rendering device, a method comprising:

receiving at an the audio-rendering device, data comprising digital audio data
transmitted across a network from an audio host;

determining whether received digital audio data is encoded according to one of at least two coding schemes;

selecting a decoding scheme based on the one of at least two coding schemes by which the received digital audio data is encoded;

decoding the encoded digital audio data in accordance with the selected decoding scheme; and

converting the received digital audio data to analog audio for output.

- 2. (Previously Canceled)
- 3. (Previously Amended) The method according to claim 1, further comprising encoding the digital audio data at the audio host.
- 4. (Previously Amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein determining whether the received digital audio data is encoded according to one of the at least two coding schemes comprises determining whether the received digital audio data is encoded according to coding schemes including mp3, way, au, and aiff.



- 5. (Original) The method according to claim 1, wherein receiving digital audio data comprises receiving a plurality of digital audio data segments and reconstructing the digital audio data from the received plurality of digital audio data segments.
- 6. (Previously Amended) The method according to claim 5, wherein determining whether the received digital audio data is encoded according to one of at least two coding schemes comprises identifying an indicator code included within at least one of the plurality of digital audio data segments.
- 7. (Previously Amended) The method according to claim 1, further comprising: determining whether the received digital audio data is compressed; and decompressing the compressed digital audio data based upon the selected decoding scheme.
- 8. (Previously Amended) The method according to claim 7, further comprising providing as output the analog audio to an amplification device.
- 9. (Previously Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the digital audio data is received across at least one of a plurality of networks including a phoneline network, a powerline network, and a HomeRF network.

10. (Currently Amended) An audio-rendering device comprising:

a network interface to receive digital audio data transmitted over a network from an audio host;

a processor coupled with the network interface to:

determine whether received digital audio data is encoded according to one of at least two coding schemes, and;

select a decoding scheme based on the one of at least two coding schemes by which the received digital audio data is encoded; and

decode the encoded digital audio data in accordance with the selected decoding scheme; and

a converter coupled to the processor to convert the received digital audio data to analog audio for output to a speaker proximate the audio-rendering device.

- 11. (Previously Canceled)
- 12. (Previously Canceled)
- 13. (Previously Canceled)
- 14. (Currently Amended) The digital to-analog audio bridge audio-rendering device according to claim 10, further comprising a read only memory coupled to the processor to store at least one CODEC.

COPY

- 15. (Currently Amended) The digital to analog audio bridge audio-rendering device according to claim 10, wherein the processor decompresses the digital audio data if it is determined that the digital audio data is compressed.
- 16. (Previously Amended) A residential network audio system comprising:

 a host device disposed in a first location to transmit digital audio data over a
 network; and

an audio-rendering device disposed in a second location, communicatively coupled with the host, to receive the digital audio data transmitted from the host, determine whether received digital audio data is encoded according to one of at least two coding schemes, select a decoding scheme based on the one of at least two coding schemes by which the received digital audio data is encoded, decode the received digital audio data in accordance with the selected decoding scheme, and convert the received digital audio data to analog audio for output to a speaker proximate the audio-rendering device.

- 17. (Previously Canceled)
- 18. (Previously Amended) The residential network audio system according to claim 16, wherein the network comprises a network including at least one of a phoneline network, a powerline network, and a HomeRF network.

19. (Previously Amended) The residential network audio system according to claim 16, wherein the audio-rendering device is further disposed to:

determine whether the received digital audio data is compressed; and decompress the compressed digital audio data in accordance with the selected decoding scheme.

- 20. (Original) The residential network audio system according to claim 16, wherein the digital audio data is transmitted according to the real-time transport protocol (RTP).
- 21. (Previously Amended) An article comprising a machine readable medium having a plurality of machine readable instructions stored thereon, wherein when the instructions are executed by a processor, the instructions subscribe the processor to:

receive digital audio data;

determine whether received digital audio data is encoded according to one of at least two coding schemes;

select a decoding scheme based on the one of at least two coding schemes by which the received digital audio data is encoded;

decode the encoded digital audio data in accordance with the selected decoding scheme; and

convert the received digital audio data to analog audio for output to a speaker.

22. (Previously Canceled)

- 23. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 22 21, wherein the machine readable instructions that, when executed, subscribe the processor to receive audio data comprise sequences of instructions that, when executed, cause the processor to receive digital audio data transmitted across a network from an audio host.
- 24. (Previously Added) The method according to claim 1, wherein converting the received digital audio data to analog audio for output comprises converting the received digital audio data to analog audio for output to a speaker proximate the audio-rendering device.
- 25. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

providing an indication, within at least one of a plurality of data segments, whether digital audio data is encoded according to one of at least two <u>audio</u> coding schemes; and

transmitting the plurality of data segments across at least one of a plurality of networks including a phoneline network, a powerline network, and a HomeRF network to an audio-rendering device.

26. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 25, wherein providing the indication, within the at least one of the plurality of data segments, whether the digital audio data is encoded according to the one of the at least two <u>audio</u> coding schemes comprises providing an indicator code within the at least one of the plurality of data segments.

- 27. (Previously Added) A method of claim 6, further comprising: selecting the one of the two coding schemes based on the identified indicator code.
- 28. (Previously Added) The method of claim 27, wherein selecting the one of the two coding schemes based on the identified indicator code comprises:

accessing a lookup table that includes entries for the at least two coding schemes; comparing the identified indicator code to the entries in the lookup table; and identifying an entry in the lookup table that corresponds to the indicator code, wherein the entry is the coding scheme by which the received digital audio data is encoded.

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present U.S. Patent application. Claims 1, 3-10, 14-16, 18-21, 23, 24 and 27 are allowed. Claims 25-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Claim 28 is objected to. Claims 1, 10, 14, 15, 23, 25 and 26 have been amended. No claims have been canceled or added. Thus, by this amendment, claims 1, 3-10, 14-16, 18-21 and 23-28 remain pending.

Claim Objections

Claim 28 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Applicant respectfully points out that only claims 25 and 26 have been rejected, and that claim 28 depends on claim 27, which has been allowed. Therefore, Applicant submits that Examiner should not have objected to claim 28.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102

Rejections of Claims 25-26 Based on Bruhn

Claims 25-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,256,487 issued to Bruhn (*Bruhn*). For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicant submits that claims 25-26 are not anticipated by *Bruhn*.

Amended Claim 25 recites the following:

providing an indication, within at least one of a plurality of data segments, whether digital audio data is encoded according to one of at least two audio coding schemes; ...

A proper rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 requires that a single prior art reference teach each and every element of the rejected claim. See MPEP § 2131.

Bruhn discloses a communication system for managing radiotelephone calls. See col. 5, lines 4-17. A transmitter transmits a mode indicator over an air interface to a receiver, to inform the receiver of the combination of speech coding and channel coding used to process data prior to transmission. See col. 5, lines 45-54; col. 6, lines 42-54. Bruhn does not disclose providing an indication, within at least one of a plurality of data segments, whether digital audio data is encoded according to one of at least two audio coding schemes. Consequently, Bruhn does not teach each and every element of claim 25, and thus does not anticipate this claim. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claim 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

Claim 26 depends from claim 25. Because dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, Applicant submits that claim 26 is not anticipated by *Bruhn* for at least the reasons set forth above, and thus is in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant submits that the rejections have been overcome. Therefore, all pending claims are now in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if such contact would further the examination of the present application.



Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date: March 19, 2003

Joseph A. Pugh Reg. No. 52,137

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 (503) 684-6200

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on:

19.03

Date of Deposit

ENEX S. Watson

D-RSIL

No.



OCT 2 7 2003

Technology Center 2100

RECEIVED

APR 0 1 2003

APK 1 4 2003 LAKE OSWEGO

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP LOS ANGELES

Atty/Sec: EHT/GDC/JAP/dsw ≥ EM
ed hereon: Statement & PTO/SB/08 (pgs) (original & copy) st Under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i) Examination (RCE) revious Nonpublication Request Missing Parts & Formalities Letter Drawings ion Fee Due MAR 2 4 2003

